UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/046,542	01/16/2002	Wilfred Arthur Jefferies	7685-41	7685-41 3450	
35222	7590 10/25/2006		EXAMINER .		
LANG MICHENER			WEHBE, ANNE MARIE SABRINA		
BCE PLACE, SUITE 2500, 1	P.O. BOX 747 81 BAY STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TORONTO, ON M5J 2T7			1633		
CANADA	•		DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/046,542	JEFFERIES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anne Marie S. Wehbe	1633		

	Anne Marie S. Wehbe	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	p	(4)					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
							(d) They present additional claims without canceling a
NOTE: <u>see attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.	· //		(DTOL 004)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	The state of the s						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3, 7-8, 14, and 17-30.	will not be entered, or b)    □ wi wided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a North day and sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.	D NIM					

PRIMARY EXAMINER

MMI

Art Unit: 1633

## **Attachment to Advisory Action**

**3. cont.** Applicant's claim amendment proposed the cancellation of claims 1-20, 22-24, 27, and 29-30, the amendment of claims 21, 25-26, and 28, and the addition of new claim 31. However, proposed claim 21 as amended and new claim 31 recite that the methods are practiced in an "organism". The previously pending claims under examination were directed to the practice of the methods in "animals". The terms "organism" and "animal" are not equivalent and the practice of the instant methods in any "organism" including bacteria and yeast would require additional consideration.

11. cont. As noted above, the claim amendment has not been entered and therefore applicant's arguments concerning the rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, and 35 U.S.C. 102, and the obviousness type double patenting rejection are moot. Please note, however, that the proposed claim amendment would have overcome the 112, first, and 102 rejections had the claims been limited to "animal". In the interest of compact prosecution, it is further noted that had the proposed claim amendment been entered, the amendment would NOT have overcome the obviousness type double patenting rejection. The instant claims and the claims of the '770 patent are not patentably distinct for reasons of record. This rejection can be overcome by applicant's submission of a terminal disclaimer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie S. Wehbé, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0737. If the examiner is not available, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen, can be reached at (571) 272-0731. For all official communications, **the new technology center fax number is (571) 273-8300**. Please note that all official communications and responses sent by fax must be directed to the technology center fax number. For informal, non-official communications only, the examiner's direct fax number is (571) 273-0737. For any inquiry of a general nature, please call (571) 272-0547.

The applicant can also consult the USPTO's Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) on the internet for patent application status and history information, and for electronic images of applications. For questions or problems related to PAIR, please call the USPTO Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) toll free at 1-866-217-9197. Representatives are available daily from 6am to midnight (EST). When calling please have your application serial number or patent number available. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO call center (UCC) at 1-800-786-9199.

Dr. A.M.S. Wehbé

'ARY EXAMINE"